IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) Confirmation No.: 5550
Satomi MIYATA et al) Art Unit: 1614)
<pre>I.A. Filing Date: 10/04/2003 371(c) Date: April 7, 2006</pre>) Examiner: Joseph S. Kudla
I. A. No.: PCT/JP2004/014591) April 4, 2008)
U.S. Appln. No.: 10/574,934) ATTY.'S DOCKET: MIYATA=6
For: AGENT FOR ENHANCING THE PRODUCTION OF COLLAGEN)

REPLY TO REQUIREMENTS FOR RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND ELECTION OF SPECIES

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop: Amendment
Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Applicants are in receipt of the Office Action mailed March 4, 2008, and applicants reply below.

First, however, applicants respectfully request the PTO to acknowledge receipt of applicants' papers filed under Section 119.

Restriction has been required among what the PTO deems as being five (5) patentably distinct inventions. As applicants must make an election even though the requirement is traversed, applicants hereby respectfully and provisionally

Appln. No. 10/574,934
Reply dated April 4, 2008
Reply to Office Action of March 4, 2008

elect Group V, presently said to comprise only claims 17-19, with partial traverse and without prejudice.

Applicants accept the requirement as regards claim

16, but not as regards the other claims. The examiner says
that there is no special technical feature, but applicants do
not see that this is correct. Applicants submit that the
common technical feature is the enhancement of collagen
production by the combination of L-ascorbic acid (and/or
derivative) with a fatty acid (or derivative). Insofar as is
known, there is no reason why it would be considered that such
subject matter does not constitute a special technical
feature.

Applicants believe, and respectfully submit, that the requirements for unity of invention as among Groups I-III and V are met, and that the requirement should be withdrawn, except for Group IV, claim 16. Such is respectfully requested.

The examiner has also required an election of a single species of fatty acids or derivatives to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim were to eventually be held to be allowable. Again, as applicants must make an election even though the requirement is traversed, applicants hereby respectfully and provisionally elect 10-hydroxy-2-decenoic acid as the elected species, with traverse

Appln. No. 10/574,934
Reply dated April 4, 2008
Reply to Office Action of March 4, 2008

and without prejudice. The claims which read on this species are claims 1-15 and 17-19.

Applicants respectfully traverse the requirement on the basis of the second paragraph of MPEP 803. In this regard, applicants respectfully note that no separate classification has been demonstrated for the separate species, and in any event all of the claims (except for claim 16) would have to be examined because they are all generic. Once such a search has been conducted, the additional work to examine claims 1-15 would not impose too much of a burden on the examiner, i.e. would not constitute a "serious burden".

Accordingly, applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the election of species requirement.

Applicants now respectfully await the results of a first examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicants

By

Skeridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:tdd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\S\SUMA\Miyata6\Pto\2008-04-04RESTRICT RESP.doc